

In re: Serial No.: 09/747,467  
Page 13 of 13

#### REMARKS

This is in response to the telephone conference of October 27, 2004, between Examiner Cheu and Kenneth Sibley.


During the telephone conference, it was noted that some occurrences of formula I should contain an R<sub>4</sub> group associated with the base, while other occurrences of formula I should not (consistent with the specification as originally filed). Examiner Cheu requested that this be corrected, and this has been done in the amendment above.

Examiner Cheu suggested that claim 11 be amended to recite "an isolated cell", and this has been done by amendment above.

Examiner Cheu noted that claim 13 raised issues regarding nucleic acid sequences. Since the subject matter of this claim is dominated by the antibody claims and claim 11, Mr. Sibley agreed to cancel this claim, which has been done in the amendment above.

The points and concerns raised in the outstanding Office Action having been addressed in full, it is submitted that this application is in condition for allowance, which action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

  
Kenneth D. Sibley  
Registration No. 31,665

402992